

O-SEGMENTS ON TOPOLOGICAL MEASURE SPACES

MOHAMMAD JAVAHERI

ABSTRACT. Let X be a topological space and μ be a nonatomic finite measure on a σ -algebra Σ containing the Borel σ -algebra of X . We say μ is weakly outer regular, if for every $A \in \Sigma$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an open set O such that $\mu(A \setminus O) = 0$ and $\mu(O \setminus A) < \epsilon$. The main result of this paper is to show that if $f, g \in L^1(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ with $\int_X f d\mu = \int_X g d\mu = 1$, then there exists an increasing family of open sets $u(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, such that $u(0) = \emptyset$, $u(1) = X$, and $\int_{u(t)} f d\mu = \int_{u(t)} g d\mu = t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. We also study a similar problem for a finite collection of integrable functions on general finite and σ -finite nonatomic measure spaces.

INTRODUCTION

A (nonnegative) measure space (X, Σ, μ) is called nonatomic, if for every $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) > 0$, there exists $B \subset A$ such that $0 < \mu(B) < \mu(A)$. Sierpiński [4] showed that if (X, Σ, μ) is nonatomic, then the range of μ is $[0, \mu(X)]$. A stronger result [2, Theorem 15] states that there exists a continuum of values for a nonatomic finite measure along increasing sequences of measurable sets. To make this statement precise, we need the following definition.

Definition 0.1. Let (X, Σ, μ) be a finite (respectively σ -finite) measure space and η be a σ -additive function on Σ . An increasing family $u(t) \in \Sigma$, $t \in [0, 1]$ (respectively $t \in [0, \infty)$), is called a segment for η , if $u(0) = \emptyset$, $u(1) = X$ (respectively, $\lim u(t) = X$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$), $u(s) \subset u(t)$ if $s < t$, and

$$(0.1) \quad \eta(u(t)) = t\eta(X), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \quad (\text{respectively } \eta(u(t)) = t, \quad \forall t \geq 0).$$

In the sequel, the σ -additive function $\mu_f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ induced by $f \in L^1(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ is defined by $\mu_f(E) = \int_E f d\mu$, $E \in \Sigma$.

Theorem 0.2. [2] Let S be a finite collection of integrable functions on a nonatomic finite measure space. Then there exists a common segment for all μ_f , $f \in S$.

We will give an elementary second proof of this theorem in §2. The conclusion of Theorem 0.2 does not necessarily hold if the collection S contains infinitely many functions (see §3). Next, we will consider the problem of finding common segments on σ -finite nonatomic measure spaces. Here and throughout, by a σ -finite measure space, we mean a measure space (X, Σ, μ) such that $\mu(X) = \infty$ and $X = \cup_i X_i$ for a countable collection of finite-measure subsets $X_i \in \Sigma$. We prove the following theorem in §4.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28A25; Secondary 46G10.

Key words and phrases. Nonatomic measure, open segments, weakly outer regular measure.

Theorem 0.3. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a σ -finite nonatomic measure space. Let S be a finite collection of functions such that $f - 1 \in L^1(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ for all $f \in S$ and*

$$(0.2) \quad \int_X (f - 1) d\mu = 0, \quad \forall f \in S.$$

Then there exists a common segment for all μ_f , $f \in S$.

In §5, we consider the problem of finding common O-segments on topological measure spaces. By an O-segment for a σ -additive function η , we mean a segment $u(t)$ for η in the sense of Definition 0.1 such that, in addition, $u(t)$ is open for all t . To state the main results of §5, we recall the following definitions.

Definition 0.4. *Let μ be a finite measure on a topological space X such that every open set is measurable. Let A be a measurable set.*

- i) *We say A is approximable by open sets, if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an open set O such that $\mu(A \oplus O) < \epsilon$.*
- ii) *The measure μ is called weakly outer regular at A , if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an open set O such that $\mu(A \setminus O) = 0$ and $\mu(O \setminus A) < \epsilon$.*
- iii) *The measure μ is called outer regular at A , if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an open set O such that $A \subset O$ and $\mu(O \setminus A) < \epsilon$.*

In Theorem 4.2, we show that every nonatomic measure μ in which all measurable sets are approximable by open sets admits an O-segment. More importantly, one has the following theorem.

Theorem 0.5. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a topological measure space such that μ is finite, nonatomic, and weakly outer regular. Let $f, g \in L^1(X, \Sigma, \mu)$. Then there exists a common O-segment for μ_f and μ_g .*

1. COMMON SEGMENTS ON FINITE MEASURE SPACES

Definition 1.1. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a nonatomic finite measure space and η be a σ -additive function on Σ . A partial segment for η is a pair (u, Λ) such that $u : \Lambda \subseteq [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma$ has the following properties:*

- i) $u(0) = \emptyset$ and $u(1) = X$,
- ii) $u(s) \subset u(t)$ if $s < t$ and $s, t \in \Lambda$,
- iii) $\eta(u(t)) = t\eta(X)$ for all $t \in \Lambda$.

The set of all partial segments for μ is denoted by $\mathcal{F}$. The set $\mathcal{F}$ is nonempty; for example $(u, \{0, 1\}) \in \mathcal{F}$ where $u(0) = \emptyset$ and $u(1) = X$. We define a partial ordering $\preceq$ on $\mathcal{F}$ by setting $(u_1, \Lambda_1) \preceq (u_2, \Lambda_2)$ if and only if $\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda_2$ and $u_1(t) = u_2(t)$ for all $t \in \Lambda_1$. We also let $\prec$ be the quazi-order associated with $\preceq$.

Proposition 1.2. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a nonatomic finite measure space. Then for every partial segment (u_0, Λ_0) of $\mathcal{F}$ there exists $(u, [0, 1]) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $(u_0, \Lambda_0) \preceq (u, [0, 1])$. In particular, u is a segment for μ .*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $\mu(X) = 1$ (the case $\mu(X) = 0$ has the trivial solution $u(t) = X$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$). For a fixed $(u_0, \Lambda_0) \in \mathcal{F}$, we let

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{U} = \{(u, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{F} \mid (u_0, \Lambda_0) \preceq (u, \Lambda)\}.$$

We first show that every chain (i.e. totally ordered set) in $(\mathcal{U}, \preceq)$ has an upper bound. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{(u_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in J\}$ be a chain, where J is some index set. We let

$\Lambda = \cup_{\alpha \in J} \Lambda_\alpha$ and define $u : \Lambda \rightarrow \Sigma$ as follows. For $t \in \Lambda$, choose $\alpha \in S$ such that $t \in \Lambda_\alpha$ and set $u(t) = u_\alpha(t)$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is a chain, u is well-defined and $(u, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{U}$ is an upper bound for $\mathcal{C}$.

Now, by the Zorn's lemma, $\mathcal{U}$ contains a maximal element (u, Λ) . We prove that $\Lambda = [0, 1]$.

Step 1. The set Λ is a closed subset of $[0, 1]$. Otherwise, there would exist a monotone sequence $t_i \in \Lambda$ such that $t' = \lim t_i \notin \Lambda$. Define $(u', \Lambda') \in \mathcal{U}$ by setting $\Lambda' = \Lambda \cup \{t'\}$, $u'(t) = u(t)$ for all $t \in \Lambda$, and

$$(1.2) \quad u'(t') = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} u(t_i) ,$$

where $\lim u(t_i)$ is the union (respectively intersection) of the $u(t_i)$'s if the sequence t_i is increasing (respectively decreasing). It is straightforward to check that $(u, \Lambda) \prec (u', \Lambda') \in \mathcal{U}$. Since (u, Λ) is maximal, this is a contradiction, and so Λ is closed.

Step 2. The complement of Λ in $[0, 1]$ is empty. Otherwise, the complement of Λ can be written as a union of disjoint open intervals [1]. Let (a, b) be one of such intervals. In particular, $a, b \in \Lambda$. Let $D = u(b) \setminus u(a)$. Since μ is nonatomic, there exists $E \in \Sigma$ with $E \subset D$ and $0 < \mu(E) < \mu(D) = b - a$. We define $(u', \Lambda') \in \mathcal{U}$ by setting $\Lambda' = \Lambda \cup \{a + \mu(E)\}$, $u'(t) = u(t)$ for all $t \in \Lambda$, and $u'(a + \mu(E)) = u(a) \cup E$. Clearly $(u, \Lambda) \prec (u', \Lambda')$ which is in contradiction with (u, Λ) being maximal.

It follows that $\Lambda = [0, 1]$, and so u is a segment that extends u_0 . $\square$

Proposition 1.2 implies that there exists at least one segment for any nonatomic finite measure space. The converse of this statement is also true.

Proposition 1.3. *Suppose (X, Σ, μ) is a finite measure space. Then (X, Σ, μ) is nonatomic if and only if it admits a segment.*

Proof. It is left to show that if (X, Σ, μ) has a segment, then it is nonatomic. Let Y be any measurable subset of X with $\mu(Y) > 0$. We show that $(Y, \Sigma \cap Y, \mu)$ admits a segment, where the measure is induced by (X, Σ, μ) . Let $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a segment for (X, Σ, μ) . Let $v(t) = Y \cap u(t)$ and $f(t) = \mu(v(t))$. We show that f is a continuous and non-decreasing function from $[0, 1]$ onto $[0, \mu(Y)]$. Clearly if $t < s$, we have $v(t) \subset v(s)$ and so $f(t) \leq f(s)$. Also if $t_i \rightarrow t$, then

$$(1.3) \quad v(t_i) \oplus v(t) = Y \cap (u(t_i) \oplus u(t))$$

and so $|f(t) - f(t_i)| \leq \mu(v(t_i) \oplus v(t)) \leq \mu(u(t_i) \oplus u(t)) = |t - t_i| \mu(X) \rightarrow 0$. Since f is continuous and non-decreasing, f is onto $[0, \mu(Y)]$. Finally, we define:

$$(1.4) \quad w(t) = \bigcup_{f(s) \leq t \mu(Y)} v(s) , \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

Then w is a segment for Y , and so (X, Σ, μ) is nonatomic. $\square$

In the remaining of this section, we prove Theorem 0.2. First, we need a lemma.

Lemma 1.4. *Let F be a real-valued continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ such that $F(0) = 0$ and $F(1) = 1$. Then there exists $c, d \in [0, 1]$ such that*

$$(1.5) \quad F(d) - F(c) = d - c = \frac{1}{2} .$$

Proof. Let $G(c) = F(c+1/2) - F(c) - 1/2$, for $c \in [0, 1/2]$. Then $G(0) + G(1/2) = 0$ which implies that either $G(0) = G(1/2) = 0$ or, by the mean-value theorem, there exists $c \in (0, 1/2)$ such that $G(c) = 0$. In either case, we have a solution of $G(c) = 0$, and the lemma follows for $c, d = c + 1/2$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 0.2. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\mu(X) = 1$, $1 \in S$, and that $\mu_f(X) = 1, \forall f \in S$. If $\mu_f(X) \neq 0$, then one replaces f by $f/(\mu_f(X))$, otherwise one replaces f by $f + 1$. Any common segment for the new family is a common segment for the original family of functions.

Proof is by induction on n . The case $n = 1$ follows from Proposition 1.2 (recall that $1 \in S$). Suppose the assertion holds when $|S| \leq n$. Let $f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}$ be a collection of $n + 1$ integrable functions such that $f_1 \equiv 1$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the set of pairs (u, Λ) that are partial segments for every $\mu_{f_i}, i \leq n + 1$. The set $\mathcal{G}$ is nonempty; for example $(u, \{0, 1\}) \in \mathcal{G}$, where $u(0) = \emptyset$ and $u(1) = X$. Next, as in the proof of Proposition 1.2, one can show that every chain in $\mathcal{G}$ has an upper bound. Using the Zorn's lemma, we obtain a maximal element $(u, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{G}$. The set Λ is closed (c.f. step 1 of the proof of Proposition 1.2). It is left to show that the complement of Λ in $[0, 1]$ is empty. If nonempty, Λ^c can be written as a disjoint union of nonempty open intervals. Let (a, b) be one of such intervals and set $X' = u(b) \setminus u(a)$. By the inductive hypothesis, there exists a segment v for $\mu_{f_i}, i \leq n$, on X' . Let $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function

$$(1.6) \quad F(t) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{v(t)} f_{n+1} d\mu, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then $F(0) = 0$ and $F(1) = 1$. By Lemma 1.4, there exists an interval $[c, d] \subset [0, 1]$ such that

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{v(d) \setminus v(c)} f_{n+1} d\mu = F(d) - F(c) = d - c = \frac{1}{2}.$$

We define $(u', \Lambda') \in \mathcal{G}$ by setting $\Lambda' = \Lambda \cup \{(a+b)/2\}$, $u'(t) = u(t)$ for $t \in \Lambda$, and $u'((a+b)/2) = u(a) \cup v(d) \setminus v(c)$. Equation (1.7) implies that $\mu_{f_{n+1}}(u'((a+b)/2)) = a + (b-a)/2 = (a+b)/2$. On the other hand, for $i \leq n$, we have $\mu_{f_i}(u'((a+b)/2)) = a + \mu_{f_i}(v(d) \setminus v(c)) = a + \mu(v(d) \setminus v(c)) = a + (b-a)/2 = (a+b)/2$. It follows that $(u, \Lambda) \prec (u', \Lambda') \in \mathcal{G}$. This is a contradiction, and so $\Lambda = [0, 1]$ and u is a common segment for all of the f_i 's, $i \leq n + 1$. This completes the proof of the inductive step and the theorem follows. $\square$

2. A COUNTEREXAMPLE

In this section, we show that Theorem 0.2 may fail if S is not finite. For positive integers p, q with $p < q$, let

$$(2.1) \quad I_{p,q} = \left[\frac{2p-1}{2q}, \frac{2p+1}{2q} \right].$$

We define a function $f_{p,q}$ on $[0, 1]$ by setting $f_{p,q}(t) = q$ for $t \in I_{p,q}$ and zero otherwise. We claim that there is no Lebesgue-measurable set E with $\int_E f_{p,q} d\lambda = 1/2$, where throughout this section, λ refers to the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$. This will imply that the given family of integrable functions has no common segment. First, we need a lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Let E be a Lebesgue-measurable subset of $[0, 1]$ such that $\lambda(E) > 0$. Then for any $r \in (0, 1)$ there exists a subinterval J such that*

$$(2.2) \quad \lambda(E \cap J) \geq r\lambda(J) .$$

Proof. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\epsilon < (1 - r)\lambda(E)$. Let U be an open set such that $E \subseteq U$ and $\lambda(U \setminus E) < \epsilon$. One can write U as a disjoint union of open intervals J_i , $i \geq 1$. If $\lambda(E \cap J_i) \leq r\lambda(J_i)$ for all i , it would follow that $\lambda(E) \leq r\lambda(U)$. But then $\lambda(U \setminus E) \geq (1 - r)\lambda(U) \geq (1 - r)\lambda(E) > \epsilon$, which is a contradiction. It follows that for some i , we have $\lambda(E \cap J_i) \geq r\lambda(J_i)$, and the lemma follows. $\square$

Now suppose there was a Lebesgue-measurable set E such that $\int_E f_{p,q} d\lambda = 1/2$ or equivalently, suppose for all p, q , we had

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda(E \cap I_{p,q}) = \frac{1}{2q} .$$

Then by lemma 2.1 there would exist an interval $(a, b) \subset [0, 1]$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda(E \cap (a, b)) > \frac{3}{4}(b - a) .$$

We choose q such that $q > 6/(b - a)$. Let $p = \lceil qa \rceil$, the smallest integer greater than or equal to qa , and $r = \lfloor qb \rfloor$, the largest integer less than or equal to qb . It follows from (2.3) that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda(E \cap (a, b)) &\leq \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{i=p}^r \lambda(E \cap I_{i,q}) \leq \frac{1}{q} + \frac{r - p + 1}{2q} \\ &\leq \frac{b - a}{2} + \frac{3}{2q} < \frac{3}{4}(b - a) . \end{aligned}$$

The inequalities (2.4) and (2.5) contradict each other. This contradiction implies that there is no common segment for the family $f_{p,q}$.

3. COMMON SEGMENTS ON SIGMA-FINITE MEASURE SPACES

In this section, (X, Σ, μ) is a σ -finite nonatomic measure space. In particular, there exists a sequence $X_i \in \Sigma$, $i \geq 1$ such that $X_0 = \emptyset$, $X_i \subset X_{i+1}$, $\mu(X_i) < \mu(X_{i+1})$, and $\cup_i X_i = X$. Then, by Theorem 1.2, one proceeds to find segments $u_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma$ for $X_{i+1} \setminus X_i$ with the measure induced by (X, Σ, μ) . By gluing these segments, one gets a segment for μ . More precisely, we defines $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \Sigma$ by setting

$$(3.1) \quad u(t) = X_i \cup u_i \left(\frac{t - \mu(X_i)}{\mu(X_{i+1}) - \mu(X_i)} \right) , \quad t \in [\mu(X_i), \mu(X_{i+1})] , \quad i \geq 0 .$$

Now, we are ready to present the proof of Theorem 0.3.

Proof of Theorem 0.3. We may assume that $1 \in S$. The proof is by induction on $|S|$. We have already shown the existence of a segment for μ and so the claim is true for $n = 1$. Thus, suppose the claim is true for n and let f_i , $i \leq n + 1$ be functions on X such that $f_1 \equiv 1$, $f_i - 1 \in L^1(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, and $\int_X (f_i - 1) d\mu = 0$. By the inductive hypothesis, there exists a common segment $v(t)$ for μ_{f_i} , $i \leq n$. We define

$$(3.2) \quad F(t) = \int_{v(t)} (f_{n+1} - 1) d\mu , \quad t \in [0, \infty) .$$

Then F is a continuous function and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F(t) = 0$. In light of Theorem 0.2 and the gluing procedure presented by (3.1), it is sufficient to show that there is an increasing sequence $Y_k \in \Sigma$, $k \geq 1$, such that $X = \cup_i Y_i$ and $\mu_{f_i}(Y_k) = \mu(Y_k) < \infty$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $i \leq n + 1$. We define the Y_k 's separately in each of the following two cases:

Case i) There is a sequence $t_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $F(t_k) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$. Then let $Y_k = v(t_k)$, $k \geq 1$.

Case ii) $T = \max\{t : F(t) = 0\} < \infty$. Without loss of generality, suppose $F(t) > 0$ for $t > T$ (the other case is quite similar). Since $F(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F(t) = 0$, there should exist sequences t_k, s_k , $k \geq 1$ such that $t_k \uparrow \infty$, $s_k \downarrow T$, $s_1 < t_1$, and $F(t_k) = F(s_k)$, $\forall k \geq 1$. Now, let $W = \cap_k v(s_k)$ and set

$$(3.3) \quad Y_k = W \cup (v(t_k) \setminus v(s_k)) .$$

Then for $k \leq n$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \mu_{f_i}(Y_k) = \mu(Y_k) = T + (t_k - s_k) < \infty .$$

Moreover,

$$(3.5) \quad \mu_{f_{i+1}}(Y_k) = \mu(Y_k) + F(T) + F(t_k) - F(s_k) = \mu(Y_k) .$$

Note that in either case $X = \cup_k Y_k$. Finally, we let $X_j = \cup_{k \leq j} Y_k$, $j \geq 0$, and apply the formula (3.1) to obtain a common segment for all μ_{f_i} , $i \leq n + 1$. $\square$

The conclusion of Theorem 0.3 does not hold if the collection S is infinite. As a counterexample, let $f_{p,q}$ be the family of functions defined in section 3 and set $\tilde{f}_{p,q}(x) = f_{p,q}(x \bmod 1)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there is no set E such that $\mu_{\tilde{f}_{p,q}}(E) = 1/2$ for all p, q . It follows that the collection $\tilde{f}_{p,q}$ does not admit a common segment.

4. O-SEGMENTS ON TOPOLOGICAL MEASURE SPACES

Let (X, Σ, μ) be a topological measure space so that every open set is measurable. In this section, we prove the existence of O-segments for measure μ under the conditions that every μ -measurable set is approximable by open sets and μ is nonatomic.

It is worth mentioning that even if the image of μ on the open sets is $[0, \mu(X)]$, it is not guaranteed that μ admits an O-segment. As a counterexample, let $X = \cup_n (2n, 2n+1)$, $n \geq 1$, with the following topology and measure. The open sets are only the intervals $(2n, 2n+1)$ and their unions. The measure μ on $(2n, 2n+1)$ is given by $\lambda/2^n$, where λ is the usual Lebesgue measure. Then μ is nonatomic and the image of μ on open sets is $[0, \mu(X)]$ but μ does not admit an O-segment.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a topological measure space such that μ is nonatomic and every μ -measurable set is approximable by open sets. Then for any open set $A \in \Sigma$ and $a \in [\mu(A), \mu(X)]$ there exists an open set O such that $A \subset O$ and $\mu(O) = a$.*

Proof. We define an increasing sequence O_n , $n \geq 1$, of open sets inductively such that $A \subset O_n$ for all $n \geq 0$ and

$$(4.1) \quad a + \frac{3^n}{4^n}(\mu(A) - a) \leq \mu(O_n) \leq a + \frac{1}{4^n}(\mu(A) - a) .$$

We set $O_0 = A$. Suppose we have defined O_n such that (4.1) holds, and we proceed to define O_{n+1} . Since μ is nonatomic, the set $X \setminus O_n$ contains a subset $A_{n+1} \in \Sigma$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \mu(A_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{2}(a - \mu(O_n)) .$$

Since A_{n+1} is approximable by open sets, there exists an open set U_{n+1} such that $A_{n+1} \subseteq U_{n+1}$ and

$$(4.3) \quad \mu(U_{n+1} \oplus A_{n+1}) < \frac{1}{4}(a - \mu(O_n)) ,$$

We let $O_{n+1} = O_n \cup U_{n+1}$. Then, one has

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(O_{n+1}) &\leq \mu(O_n) + \mu(U_{n+1} \cap A_{n+1}) + \mu(U_{n+1} \setminus A_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \mu(O_n) + \frac{3}{4}(a - \mu(O_n)) \leq \frac{3}{4}a + \frac{1}{4}\mu(O_n) \\ &\leq \frac{3}{4}a + \frac{1}{4} \left(a + \frac{1}{4^n}(\mu(A) - a) \right) \leq a + \frac{1}{4^{n+1}}(\mu(A) - a) . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(O_{n+1}) &\geq \mu(O_n) + \mu(A_{n+1}) - \mu(A_{n+1} \setminus U_{n+1}) \\ &\geq \mu(O_n) + \frac{1}{4}(a - \mu(O_n)) \geq \frac{1}{4}a + \frac{3}{4}\mu(O_n) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4}a + \frac{3}{4} \left(a + \frac{3^n}{4^n}(\mu(A) - a) \right) \geq a + \frac{3^{n+1}}{4^{n+1}}(\mu(A) - a) . \end{aligned}$$

Now, the set $O = \cup_n O_n$ is open, $A \subset O$, and $\mu(O) = a$. $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a topological measure space such that μ is finite and nonatomic, and suppose that every measurable set is approximable by open sets. Then for any open set A with $\mu(A) < \infty$ there exists an O -segment $u(t)$ such that $u(\mu(A)) = A$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $\mu(X) = 1$. We construct a sequence of open sets $O_{m,n}$, $0 \leq m \leq 2^n$, inductively on n such that $O_{0,0} = A$, $O_{1,0} = X$, and

$$(4.6) \quad \mu(O_{m,n}) = \mu(A) + \frac{m}{2^n}(1 - \mu(A)) ,$$

$$(4.7) \quad O_{m,n} \subseteq O_{k,l}, \text{ if } \frac{m}{2^n} \leq \frac{k}{2^l} ,$$

$$(4.8) \quad O_{m,n} = O_{k,l}, \text{ if } \frac{m}{2^n} = \frac{k}{2^l} .$$

Suppose open sets $O_{m,n}$ are defined for n and all $m \leq 2^n$ such that (4.6)-(4.8) hold. For a given pair $(m, n+1)$, choose k such that $2k \leq m \leq 2k+1$. If $m = 2k$, we simply set $O_{m,n+1} = O_{k,n}$. Otherwise, by Lemma 4.1 there exists an open set $O_{m,n+1}$ such that $O_{k,n} \subset O_{m,n+1} \subset O_{k+1,n}$ and $\mu(O_{m,n+1}) = \mu(A) + m2^{-n-1}(1 - \mu(A))$.

Next, for arbitrary $t \in [\mu(A), 1]$, we define:

$$(4.9) \quad u(t) = \bigcup_{m/2^n \leq t} O_{m,n} .$$

To define u on the interval $[0, \mu(A)]$, we repeat the above argument with $A = \emptyset$ and $X = A$. $\square$

Clearly, in a weakly regular measure space, every measurable set is approximable by open sets. In the case of weakly outer regular measures, the statement of Proposition 4.2 can be made stronger.

Corollary 4.3. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a topological measure space such that μ is finite, nonatomic, and weakly outer regular. Then for any measurable set A , there exists a partial O-segment $(u, (\mu(A)/\mu(X), 1])$ such that*

$$(4.10) \quad \mu(A \setminus u(t)) = 0, \quad \forall t > \mu(A)/\mu(X).$$

Proof. Since μ is weakly outer regular, there exists a decreasing sequence of open sets U_i , $i \geq 1$, such that $U_1 = X$, $\mu(A \setminus U_i) = 0$ and $\mu(U_i \setminus A) \downarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By Proposition 4.2, we can find O-segments stretching from U_{i+1} to U_i . By gluing these O-segments, we obtain an O-segment satisfying (4.10). $\square$

If μ is σ -finite, nonatomic, and outer regular, then a similar proof shows that for any $A \in \Sigma$, there exists a partial O-segment $(u, (\mu(A), \infty))$ such that $A \subset u(t)$ for all $t > \mu(A)$. In the next theorem, we prove the existence of common O-segments for measures that are induced by two integrable functions. We first have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a measure space and S be a finite collection of integrable functions. Then for $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that if $\mu(A) < \delta$, then $\int_A |f| d\mu < \epsilon$, for all $f \in S$.*

Proof. Choose $C > 0$ such that $\int_X |f| d\mu < C$ for all $f \in S$. Also let $X(M, f)$ be the set of $x \in X$ such that $|f(x)| \leq M$. Then

$$(4.11) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \int_{X(M, f)} |f| d\mu = \int_X |f| d\mu < C.$$

It follows that for a given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists M such that

$$(4.12) \quad \int_{X \setminus X(M, f)} |f| d\mu < \epsilon/2, \quad \forall f \in S.$$

We can then choose M larger, if necessary, so that (4.12) holds and $C/M < \epsilon/2$. Now, choose $\delta < \epsilon/2M$ and note that for any $f \in S$ and $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) < \delta$, we have:

$$(4.13) \quad \int_A |f| d\mu = \int_{A \cap X(M, f)} |f| d\mu + \int_{A \setminus X(M, f)} |f| d\mu$$

$$(4.14) \quad \leq \mu(A)M + \epsilon/2 \leq \delta M + \epsilon/2 < \epsilon.$$

$\square$

Theorem 0.5 directly follows from the next theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a topological measure space, where μ is finite, nonatomic, and weakly outer regular. Let $f, g \in L^1(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ so that $\mu_f(X) = \mu_g(X)$. Then for any $D \in \Sigma$ with $\mu_f(D) = \mu_g(D)$, there exists a common O-segment $u : (\mu(D)/\mu(X), 1] \rightarrow \Sigma$ for μ_f and μ_g such that*

$$(4.15) \quad \mu(D \setminus u(t)) = 0, \quad \forall t > \mu(D).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\mu(X) = \mu_f(X) = \mu_g(X) = 1$. We first prove the assertion when $g \equiv 1$. In the steps 1 and 2 below, $g \equiv 1$.

Step 1. In this step, we show that if $B \in \Sigma$ such that $\mu_f(B) = \mu_g(B)$, then for any $a \in (\mu_g(B), 1)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an open set U such that $\mu(B \setminus U) = 0$ and $\mu_f(U) = \mu_g(U) \in (a, a + \epsilon)$.

Choose $A \in \Sigma$ such that $B \subseteq A$ and $\mu_f(A) = \mu_g(A) = a$. This choice of A is made possible by Theorem 0.2. By Corollary 4.3, there exists an increasing family of open sets $v(t)$, $t \in (a, 1)$ such that $\mu_g(A \setminus v(t)) = 0$, $v(1) = X$ and $\mu_g(v(t)) = t$. Define

$$(4.16) \quad F(t) = \int_{v(t)} f d\mu - t, \quad t \in (a, 1] .$$

We have $\lim_{t \rightarrow a} F(t) = F(1) = 0$. If there exists $t \in (a, a + \epsilon)$ with $F(t) = 0$, we are done. Otherwise, let $t_0 \geq a + \epsilon$ be the smallest t such that $F(t) = 0$. It follows that F is either strictly positive or strictly negative on the interval (a, t_0) . We assume $F(t) < 0$ for all $t \in (a, t_0)$ (the other case is quite similar). Define $t_1 \in (a, t_0)$ and $s > 0$ by setting

$$(4.17) \quad -s = \min_{a < t < t_0} F(t) = F(t_1), \quad t_1 \in (a, t_0) .$$

Also choose $t_2, t_3 \in (a, t_0)$ such that $t_3 < t_2$, $F(t_2) = F(t_3)/2$, and $t_3 + t_0 - t_2 < \epsilon/2$. Let $C = v(t_0) \setminus v(t_2)$. In particular, we have:

$$(4.18) \quad \int_C (f - g) d\mu = -F(t_2) > 0 .$$

Since μ is weakly outer regular, there exists an open set W such that $\mu(C \setminus W) = 0$ and $\mu(W \setminus C) < \delta$, where we have chosen $\delta \in (0, \epsilon/2)$ so small that

$$(4.19) \quad \int_{W \setminus C} |f - g| d\mu < -F(t_2)/2 .$$

Such a choice of δ is made possible by Lemma 4.4. Next, we define a function

$$(4.20) \quad G(t) = \int_{v(t) \cup W} (f - g) d\mu, \quad t \in [a, t_3] .$$

It follows from the equation (4.18) and inequality (4.19) that

$$(4.21) \quad G(a) = \int_W (f - g) d\mu \geq \int_C (f - g) d\mu - \int_{W \setminus C} |f - g| d\mu > -F(t_2)/2 > 0 .$$

On the other hand, by our choice of t_2, t_3 , one has

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} G(t_3) &= \int_{v(t_3) \cup W} (f - g) d\mu \\ &\leq F(t_3) + \int_C (f - g) d\mu + \int_{W \setminus C} |f - g| d\mu \\ &\leq 2F(t_2) - F(t_2) - F(t_2)/2 \leq F(t_2)/2 < 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Since G is continuous, there should exist $t \in (a, t_3)$ such that $G(t) = 0$. But then $U = v(t) \cup W$ satisfies $\mu_f(U) = \mu_g(U)$ and $\mu_g(U) \leq t + \mu(W) \leq t_3 + \mu(C) + \delta \leq t_3 + t_0 - t_2 + \delta < \epsilon$. Moreover, $\mu(B \setminus U) \leq \mu(B \setminus v(t)) = 0$ as desired.

Step 2. In this step, we inductively construct a sequence of open sets $O_{m,n}$ and a sequence of real numbers $r_{m,n} \in [0, 1]$, $0 < m \leq 2^n$, such that $\mu(D \setminus O_{m,n}) = 0$ and

$$(4.23) \quad \mu_f(O_{m,n}) = \mu_g(O_{m,n}) = r_{m,n} ,$$

$$(4.24) \quad O_{m,n} \subseteq O_{k,l}, \text{ if } \frac{m}{2^n} \leq \frac{k}{2^l} ,$$

$$(4.25) \quad O_{m,n} = O_{k,l} \text{ if } \frac{m}{2^n} = \frac{k}{2^l} .$$

Here $r_{m,n}$ will be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers that is dense in $[\mu(D), 1]$. We set $O_{1,0} = X$, $r_{1,0} = 1$, and $r_{0,n} = \mu(D)$ for all n . Suppose $O_{m,n}$ has been defined for all $m \leq 2^n$ satisfying the conditions (4.23)-(4.25). Next, we define $O_{m,n+1}$ for all $m \leq 2^{n+1}$. Choose k such that $2k \leq m \leq 2k+1$. If $m = 2k$, we simply set $O_{m,n+1} = O_{k,n}$. If $m = 2k+1$ and $k \neq 0$, then we use Step 1 to find an open set $O_{m,n+1}$ such that $O_{k,n} \subset O_{m,n+1} \subset O_{k+1,n}$ and

$$(4.26) \quad \mu_f(O_{m,n+1}) = \mu_g(O_{m,n+1}) \in \left[\frac{r_{k+1,n} + r_{k,n}}{2}, \frac{r_{k+1,n} + r_{k,n}}{2} + \frac{r_{k+1,n} - r_{k,n}}{2^{2n} + 1} \right) .$$

If $m = 1$, then again by step 1, there exists an open set $O_{1,n+1} \subset O_{1,n}$ such that $\mu(D \setminus O_{1,n+1}) = 0$ and (4.26) holds. By this construction, it is clear that the set $r_{m,n}$ is dense in $[\mu(D), 1]$.

Step 3 Now, we define the common O-segment of f and g by setting

$$(4.27) \quad u(t) = \bigcup_{r_{m,n} \leq t} O_{m,n} .$$

Then $u(t)$ is defined for $t \in (\mu(D), 1]$, $\mu(D \setminus u(t)) = 0$, $\mu(u(t)) = t$, and $u(t)$ is an increasing sequence of open sets in X .

Step 4. The steps 1-3 could have been applied to a general g if we had an O-segment for μ_g at hand. The above three steps provide such an O-segment. And so one can repeat the same three steps to obtain an increasing family of open sets $u(t)$ such that $\mu_f(u(t)) = \mu_g(u(t))$. By the construction of $O_{m,n}$ in step 2 and (4.26) in particular, we conclude that the function $\beta(t) = \mu_f(u(t)) = \mu_g(u(t))$ is increasing. Then $w = u \circ \beta^{-1}$ is a common O-segment for μ_f and μ_g . $\square$

REFERENCES

1. N.L. Carothers, *Real Analysis*, Cambridge University Press (2000).
2. A. Fryszkowski, *Fixed Point Theory for Decomposable Sets*, Kluwer Academic Publishers (2004).
3. M.M. Rao, *Measure Theory and Integration*, Second Edition, Marcel Dekker, Inc. (2004).
4. W. Sierpiński, *Sur les fonctions d'ensemble additives et continues*, Fund. Math. 3 (1922), 240-246.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OREGON 97403
E-mail address: javaheri@uoregon.edu